IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA DAVENPORT DIVISION

AUG 23 2004 CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOW

EQUAL EMPLOYMENT OPPORTUNITY COMMISSION,)
ŕ) CIVIL NO. 3-02-CV-10109
Plaintiff,)
vs.) VERDICT FORMS
DIAL CORDORATION)
DIAL CORPORATION,))
Defendant.	,

INTERROGATORY NO. 1

Did Dial engage in a pattern or practice of intentional discrimination against female job applicants, as submitted in Instruction No. 12 ?

Proceed to Interrogatory No. 2 only if you answered "Yes" to Interrogatory No. 1. If your answer to the above Interrogatory is "No," do not answer any more Interrogatories.

INTERROGATORY NO. 2

If you answered "Yes," to Interrogatory No. 1, beginning on what date do you find that Dail engaged in a pattern or practice of intentional discrimination against female job applicants?

Amy a. Halter

_	TOTAL TOTAL	no		TOD	373	TO	2
H	NTER	KKU.	ILTA.	IUK	ΥI	NU.	.3

Has the EEOC established that pu	ınitive damages	s should be assesse	ed against Dial as
submitted in Instruction No. 18	?		

Proceed to Interrogatory No. 4 only if you answered "Yes" to Interrogatory No. 3. If your answer to Interrogatory No. 3 is "No," do not answer any more Interrogatories.

INTERROGATORY NO. 4

State the amount of punitive damages to be assessed against Dial.

\$_____FOREPERSON